		Rate each statement on a scale of 0-4:		
		4 = Exceeds Expectations		
		3 = Proficient		
		2 = Developing		
		1 = Limited Evidence		
		0 = No Evidence		
	NextGen Science	0 - NO EVIDENCE		
	Practices	Statements	Key Words	Score
Investigation	Asking Questions and Defining Problems	The student(s) posed a question or defined a problem that		
		could be investigated using scientific experimentation or	Testable	
		engineering design principles.	Question/Problem	
		The investigation connects to, builds or expands on <b>previous</b>	Connection to Past,	
	Planning and Carrying out Investigations	work (the student's or others') or observations and provides	Appropriate	
		appropriate references.	References	
		Scientific experiments or engineering procedures were	Design Addresses	
		designed to address the question or problem using	Question/Problem	
		appropriate materials and methodology	Appropriate Methods	
		Scientific experiments or engineering procedures were		
		designed using defined variables or criteria and constraints	Defined Variables or Criteria	
		as needed, and were evident in the testing.		
		Testing and <b>replications</b> of the experiment or design (test,	Replications or	
		retest, redesign) were evident and appropriate for the context		
		and grade level of the project.	Redesigns	
		Data were generated, predicted, and/or described using		
Sensemaking (analyzing & interpreting, making sense of the data)	Developing & Using Models	methods, processes, or systems appropriate for the context	Appropriate Data	
		and grade level of the project.	Generation	
	Analyzing & interpreting Data	Data were analyzed using concepts of statistics and		
		probability, appropriate for the grade level of the project, to	Data Analysis, Valid Claims	
		make <b>valid claims</b> or problem solutions.		
		Consistency of measurements, limitations of experiments	Data Collection	
	Using Mathematical and Computational Thinking  Constructing Explanations & Designing Solutions	and/or data analysis, and the <b>impact of new data</b> from	Consistency,	
			Limitations, Impact of	
		were considered.	New Data	
		Conclusions clearly described the outcome of the scientific	Clear Conclusion	
		experiments or engineering design process, and suggested	based on outcomes,	
		broader implications for their project outcomes and/or future	Implication & Future	
		studies to expand or improve the project.	Study	
		The student(s) referenced evidence from background sources	Conclusion links to	
		and the project's data, and had <b>sound reasoning</b> and data to	evidence.	
Sen		support the explanations or conclusions.	Sound Reasoning	
		The <b>project board</b> provided sufficient information	Sound Reasoning	
tion &	Information	(background, methods, results, conclusions) and was	Organized & Useful	
		organized in a manner to aid the presentation and discussion	Project Board	
ntal		of the project.	Froject Board	
esei )		The student(s) communicated an understanding of	Understanding of	
Pre ard		scientific or technical information or ideas appropriate for	Scientific or	
Critiquing (i.e. Presentation & Board)		the context and grade level of the project.	Engineering Ideas	
	Engaging in Argument	The student(s) <b>described all aspects</b> of the project, answered		
		questions, and engaged in <b>additional discussion</b> regarding	Engaged in Discussion,	
		the project. If a <b>team project</b> , all members of the team	ALL team members	
			contributed	
		contributed to the presentation and discussion		
			TOTAL / 52:	0